## Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No	Item	Guide	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research			
Personal Characteristics			
1.	Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted	4
2.	Credentials	What were the	Title page
3.	Occupation	What was their occupation	2
4.	Gender	Was the researcher male	SCN is female AOR is female AM is female EM is female EYL is female VL is female RA is male

5.	Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	2; SCN and RA has been conducting qualitative patient-centered research since 2019
Relationship with participants			
6.	Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	2,3
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	2,3; Through Letter of Information
8.	Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	2,3
Domain 2: study design			
Theoretical framework			
9.	Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse	4

		analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	
Participant selection			
10.	Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	3
11.	Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	3
12.	Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	5
13.	Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	5
Setting			
14.	Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	3-4
15.	Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	5

16.	Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	5, Table 1
Data collection			
17.	Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	4
18.	Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No
19.	Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	4
20.	Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	4
21.	Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	4
22.	Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	Limitations

22	Tuescariate vetura ed	Mana tuana animta naturus ad	1 /There are and newto of the transcripts
23.	Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	4 (Themes and parts of the transcripts were returned to participants)
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
Data analysis			
24.	Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	1 researcher and 6 participants for validation
25.	Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	4
26.	Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	4
27.	Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	4
28.	Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	4,5
Reporting			
29.	Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes /	9,10,11

		findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
30.	Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	11
31.	Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
32.	Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	6,7,8

## Guidance for Reporting Involvement of Patients and the Public (GRIPP2) Checklist

Section and topic	Item	Reported on page No
1: Aim	Report the aim of PPI in the study	1,2
2: Methods	Provide a clear description of the methods used for PPI in the study	2,3,4,5
3: Study results	Outcomes—Report the results of PPI in the study, including both positive and negative outcomes	5,6
4: Discussion and conclusions	Outcomes—Comment on the extent to which PPI influenced the study overall. Describe positive and negative effects	7,8
5: Reflections/critical perspective	Comment critically on the study, reflecting on the things that went well and those that did not, so others can learn from this experience	8

PPI=patient and public involvement